```
O5D3MEN1
1
      UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
      SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
2
 3
      UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 4
                                               23 Cr. 490 (SHS)
                 V.
5
      ROBERT MENENDEZ, WAEL HANA,
      FRED DAIBES,
 6
                     Defendants.
7
                                                Trial
8
                                                New York, N.Y.
 9
                                                May 13, 2024
                                                9:30 a.m.
10
11
      Before:
12
                            HON. SIDNEY H. STEIN,
13
                                                District Judge
14
                                                -and a Jury-
15
                                 APPEARANCES
16
      DAMIAN WILLIAMS
           United States Attorney for the
17
           Southern District of New York
      BY: PAUL M. MONTELEONI
18
           DANIEL C. RICHENTHAL
           ELI J. MARK
19
           LARA E. POMERANTZ
           CATHERINE E. GHOSH
20
           Assistant United States Attorneys
           -and-
21
           CHRISTINA A. CLARK
           National Security Division
22
23
24
25
```

O5D3MEN1 1 2 APPEARANCES CONTINUED 3 PAUL HASTINGS LLP 4 Attorneys for Defendant Menendez BY: ADAM FEE 5 AVI WEITZMAN ROBERT D. LUSKIN 6 RITA FISHMAN 7 8 GIBBONS, P.C. Attorneys for Defendant Hana 9 BY: LAWRENCE S. LUSTBERG ANNE M. COLLART 10 CHRISTINA LaBRUNO RICARDO SOLANO, Jr. 11 ANDREW J. MARINO ELENA CICOGNANI 12 JESSICA L. GUARRACINO 13 CESAR DE CASTRO 14 SETH H. AGATA 15 SHANNON M. McMANUS Attorneys for Defendant Daibes 16 17 Marwan Abdel-Raman, Interpreter (Arabic) 18 Bachar Alhalabi, Interpreter (Arabic) Rachel Wechsler, Paralegal Specialist (USAO) 19 Conor Hamill, Paralegal Specialist (USAO) Braden Florczyk, Paralegal Specialist (USAO) 20 Justin Kelly, DOAR 21 22 23 2.4 25

24

25

Honor.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: United States v. Robert Menendez, 1 Wael Hana, and Fred Daibes. 2 3 MS. POMERANTZ: Good morning. Lara Pomerantz for the government. I'm joined by Eli Mark, Daniel Richenthal, 4 5 Christina Clark, Paul Monteleoni, and Catherine Ghosh, as well as Connor Hamill, a paralegal specialist in our office. 6 7 MR. FEE: Good morning. 8 THE COURT: Good morning. 9 MR. FEE: Good morning, your Honor. For Senator 10 Menendez, Adam Fee. With me is Avi Weitzman, and to the left 11 of Senator Menendez, Rita Fishman. 12 THE COURT: Thank you, good morning. Welcome. 13 MR. LUSTBERG: Good morning. Lawrence S. Lustberg on 14 behalf of defendant Will Hana. We me at counsel table is Annie 15 Collart and Ricardo Solano. 16 THE COURT: Thank you, good morning. Please be 17 seated. 18 MR. DE CASTRO: For Mr. Daibes, Cesar De Castro, Seth 19 Agata, and Shannon McManus. THE COURT: All of the defendants are present. 20 21 MR. FEE: I'm sorry. I forgot to introduce Mr. Justin 22 Kelly from DOAR who will be assisting all of the defense with 23 hot seat, meaning the tech, and putting up evidence, your

THE COURT: Good morning, sir. I have the agreed

statement of the case. I also received a statement of joint statement of names as well as places and entities that I am going to read to the jurors.

I've changed my mind in one respect. Rather than send out those to another courtroom, those who say they can sit, I'm going to do the sidebars in the jury deliberation room. So, the defendants can be there, two lawyers from each side can be there, and we'll have a pool reporter there as well. I think that's best, because then I can question people who have problems in a more private setting. That's what we're going to do.

The jurors that come up have already been randomized below in the jury assembly room, and they will come up and they will have cards, 1 through at this point 102. We will sit them and I will refer to them by numbers. That's how, for their privacy, that's how it will be.

MR. RICHENTHAL: Your Honor.

THE COURT: Sir.

MR. RICHENTHAL: We certainly don't intend to bring our whole team. Can we bring three lawyers rather than two? We had been dividing and conquering, and we intend to bring three.

THE COURT: Then I have to allow three for each of the defendants. You can switch off. Two.

I see the parties have not arrived at a stipulation on

the Rule 15 motion. You'll get a decision on the Rule 15 motion tomorrow. You also will get a decision on the experts.

I can't promise that tomorrow, but it will come within the next few days.

Is there still a dispute about documents being turned over? I received letters on Thursday and Friday. Has that been resolved by the parties?

MR. RICHENTHAL: Is your Honor referring to documents with respect to speech or debate or something broader?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. RICHENTHAL: We have not received any notice or documents with respect to speech or debate. We continue to make that request. We think that, absent some form of identification, that it would be highly disruptive to the trial.

I also want to make very clear because I think that the defense's letter, at least in my reading, may have suggested we were asking for something that we're not asking for.

We're not asking the senator to waive speech or debate at this time. What we're asking for is notice of what materials if he were to waive he would use, so we can prepare. We think we're entitled to notice.

THE COURT: Wait. You want documents that implicate the speech and debate clause that he intends to use during his

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

case in chief?

MR. RICHENTHAL: Correct. Or through our witnesses during our case.

And I want to be clear, we already have, we believe -THE COURT: No. Wait. He's already presumably turned
over -- let me get the second phrasing here.

He's already turned over defendant's non-impeachment exhibits intended for use in the government's case in chief, correct?

MR. RICHENTHAL: Starting a few days ago, unfortunately, long after the April 26 deadline, we started to get materials. We've gotten materials on a near daily basis since then. We don't know if that production is complete.

THE COURT: Mr. Fee, have you turned over defendant's non-impeachment exhibits intended for use in the government's case in chief? Yes or no?

MR. FEE: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Next. Go ahead, sir.

MR. RICHENTHAL: If --

THE COURT: That's their obligation under the agreement of the parties. Witness list Rule 26(2) disclosures, defense exhibits to be used in a defense case to be produced 10 days before the start of the defendant's case. Correct?

MR. RICHENTHAL: That's correct.

THE COURT: What else are you asking for?

MR. RICHENTHAL: If the representations that the materials we've received are a complete set, then I suppose I'm not asking for anything else. Those materials did include some materials that appear on their face to implicate speech and debate. It included some materials concerning a resolution.

THE COURT: Whatever they involve.

MR. RICHENTHAL: Okay.

THE COURT: My concern is that the parties are living up to the agreement they agreed to, that was put on the record I believe first by Mr. De Castro, if I'm not mistaken, and then restated by me and set forth in a minute order.

Mr. Fee has said defendant's non-impeachment exhibits intended in the government's case in chief have been disclosed.

What more do you want? It sounds like you're not accepting "yes." I don't know.

MR. RICHENTHAL: If that's --

THE COURT: There has been too much gamesmanship here.

And I want it to end now. So, I understand where you may be a
little leery of the representation, but you have a firm
representation.

MR. RICHENTHAL: I have a firm representation and I accept it, and I'm very pleased to have that.

The only outstanding materials we are aware of, and we don't know what we don't know, would be any additional Rule 17(c) materials that the defense may have obtained and not

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

turned over. They did turn over 17(c) material last week and told us it was complete as of that date. We asked can you turn others over as you receive them, and we were told that was not something they were willing to do.

THE COURT: Mr. Fee.

MR. FEE: They have everything.

THE COURT: Everybody has to operate in good faith here. In a trial there are always things that come up that need adjustment, but there has been a good faith basis for the parties working together. I am not sure I've seen that.

Mr. Fee.

MR. FEE: They have everything, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. They have everything.

MR. RICHENTHAL: As long as we have everything as it comes in, meaning forward looking as well, that's great.

That's the thing they declined to commit to.

THE COURT: Adam, as materials come in, you'll turn them over, correct?

MR. FEE: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Let's proceed.

Were the parties able to work out authenticity stipulations? Sir.

MR. MONTELEONI: Your Honor, we've had a very productive week in that regard.

THE COURT: Have the parties been able to work out

2.4

stipulations in regard to authenticity? Yes? No? In part?

MR. MONTELEONI: In part. And what I was trying to say is that it has been very productive, and a large number have been. There are one or two outstanding items that still could have a substantial impact on the length of trial.

THE COURT: Continue working.

MR. MONTELEONI: We are.

THE COURT: What's the current estimate of the government's case? It was four to six. Presumably it's still the same.

MR. MONTELEONI: Well, I think that if there had been no progress on the stipulations, we would have said more like five to seven. It is now more like four to six. Maybe four-and-a-half to six-and-a-half.

THE COURT: Thank you. I think we'll proceed.

The jury department has their current estimate is -we're about to find out when the panel will come up. I'm told
they're on their way up. It will take a while for my deputy to
call the names. I want to make sure -- I'm sorry. The
numbers. I want to make sure they're sitting in the places
that they're called.

I'm going to step off the bench while that happens. Thank you.

(Jury selection ensued)

(Adjourned to May 14, 2024, at 9:45 a.m.)